UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/575,367 | 04/11/2006 | Henri Rosset | 062402 | 3944 |
| | 7590 08/03/201 I, HATTORI, DANIEL | EXAMINER | | |
| 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | GRABOWSKI, KYLE ROBERT | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3725 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/03/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| 10/575,367 | ROSSET, HENRI | | |
| | Art Unit | | |
| KYLE GRABOWSKI | 3725 | | |
| | | - | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review filed 13 J | une 2011. | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper and a conference | ce will not be held for the following | | | |
| ☐ The request does not incl | not been filed concurrent with the Fundereasons why a review is approper included with the Pre-Appeal Brie | oriate. | | | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. | | | | | |
| held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal browning will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because there is at least rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.3 n from mailing this decision, or the b tice of appeal, whichever is greater 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail of | Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 7. The time period for filing an appeal alance of the two-month time period. Further, the time period for filing of the late of this decision or the receipt date | | | |
| The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor | | llows: | | | |
| | conference has been held. The rejection on the merits remains closed. | | | | |
| | conference has been held. The reject action is required by applicant at this | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Kyle Grabowski</u> . | (3) <u>Michael F</u> | dayes. | | | |
| (2) <u>Dana Ross</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| /Kyle Grabowski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3725 | /Dana Ross/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725 | /Michael J. Hayes/ TQAS, TC 3700 | | | |